Appl. No.: 09/550,278

Art Unit: 2612

Amendment dated February 6, 2004 Reply to Office Action of November 6, 2003

Page 4 of 9

REMARKS

Applicant appreciates the Examiner's thorough consideration provided in

the present application. Claims 1-3 are currently pending in the instant

application. Claims 1-3 have been amended. Claim 1 is independent.

Drawings

Applicant appreciates the Examiner's indication of approval of the formal

formal drawings filed on April 14, 2000.

Priority

Applicant appreciates the Examiner's indication of acceptance of the

certified copy of the corresponding claimed priority document.

Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claim 1 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being allegedly

anticipated by Cho (U.S. Patent No. 5,523,783). This rejection is respectfully

traversed.

In light of the foregoing amendments to the claims, Applicant respectfully

submits that all of the rejections have been obviated and/or rendered moot.

Appl. No.: 09/550,278

Art Unit: 2612

Amendment dated February 6, 2004

Reply to Office Action of November 6, 2003

Page 5 of 9

Without conceding the propriety of the Examiner's rejection, but merely to

expedite the prosecution of the present application, Applicant has amended

claim 1 to clarify the claimed invention for the benefit of the Examiner.

Accordingly, this rejection has been obviated and/or rendered moot.

Specifically, Applicant submits that the prior art of record fails to teach

or suggest each and every limitation of the unique combination of limitations of

the claimed invention. Accordingly, this rejection should be withdrawn.

Applicant submits that the amendments to the claims are fully supported by

the original written description, including, but not limited to page 4, line 20

through page 6, line 17 of the present application.

With respect to claim 1, Applicant submits that the prior art of record

fails to teach or suggest each and every limitation of the unique combination of

limitations of the claimed invention, including the feature(s) of: "a data

converter which converts a communication data outputted from the operation

part including the control signal into a data format used in serial communication,

which conforms with a data format for the remote control pan head, and

transmits the converted communication data to the remote control pan head."

(emphasis added) Accordingly, this rejection should be withdrawn.

Appl. No.: 09/550,278

Art Unit: 2612

Amendment dated February 6, 2004

Reply to Office Action of November 6, 2003

Page 6 of 9

In contrast to the claimed invention, Cho (US5,523,783) is directed to a

pan head control system for automatically panning and tilting a TV camera,

wherein coordinate data representing points designated by the light pen is

converted into control data, which corresponds to amounts of panning and

tilting, so that the TV camera is panned and tilted in accordance therewith

(Col. 5 lines 27-39).

In addition, the prior art of record merely teaches that data or a data

format provided by other means such as a light pen, mouse and joystick is

converted into a data format applicable for the control system. The cited

references fail to teach or suggest a converter that converts a control signal for

controlling a pan head system into a data format applicable to a remote control

pan head. In contrast, a communication format of the control unit in the

claimed invention is converted into a data format applicable to the pan head so

as to avoid an occurrence where the pan head is not controlled by the control

unit, e.g., even if data formats of communication data differ between the

control unit and the pan head. Accordingly, this rejection should be

withdrawn.

Appl. No.: 09/550,278

Art Unit: 2612

Amendment dated February 6, 2004 Reply to Office Action of November 6, 2003

Page 7 of 9

Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 2 and 3 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being

allegedly unpatentable over Cho in view of Cortjens et al. (U.S. Patent No.

5,515,099). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

As described in greater detail hereinabove, Applicant submits that the

prior art of record fails to teach or suggest each and every limitation of the

unique combination of limitations of the claimed invention of claim 1. Since

Cortjens et al. fail to teach or suggest the shortcomings of the Cho reference,

this rejection should be withdrawn.

Cortjens (US5,515,099) discloses a video conferencing system, wherein

the network converter 11 converts signals from the mouse 12 or the joystick 18

into signals appropriate for the pan/tilt mechanism (Col. 6, lines 48-51).

However, Cortjens et al. fail to teach or suggest a converter that converts a

control signal for controlling a pan head system into a data format applicable

to a remote control pan head. Therefore, the unique communication format of

the control unit in the claimed invention is not taught or suggested by the prior

art of record.

Appl. No.: 09/550,278

Art Unit: 2612

Amendment dated February 6, 2004

Reply to Office Action of November 6, 2003

Page 8 of 9

Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of the claim rejections are

respectfully requested. Moreover, Applicant respectfully submits that the

instant application is in a condition for allowance.

As to the dependent claims, Applicant respectfully submits that these

claims are allowable due to their dependence upon an allowable independent

claim, as well as for additional limitations provided by these claims.

CONCLUSION

Since the remaining references cited by the Examiner have not been

utilized to reject the claims, but merely to show the state-of- the-art, no further

comments are deemed necessary with respect thereto.

All the stated grounds of rejection have been properly traversed and/or

rendered moot. Applicant therefore respectfully requests that the Examiner

reconsider all presently pending rejections and that they be withdrawn.

It is believed that a full and complete response has been made to the

Office Action, and that as such, the Examiner is respectfully requested to send

the application to Issue.

Applicants respectfully petition under the provisions of 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a)

and § 1.17 for a one-month extension of time in which to respond to the

Appl. No.: 09/550,278

Art Unit: 2612

Amendment dated February 6, 2004 Reply to Office Action of November 6, 2003

Page 9 of 9

Examiner's Office Action. The Extension of Time Fee in the amount of **\$110.00** is attached hereto.

In the event there are any matters remaining in this application, the Examiner is invited to contact Matthew T. Shanley, Registration No. 47,074 at (703) 205-8000 in the Washington, D.C. area.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§1.16 or 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

y / wa

Marc S. Weiner

Reg. No. 32,181 #

P. O. Box 747

Falls Church, VA 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

MSW/MTS/mmi